

The OKI C8600 and other A3 colour printers

November 2006

No other product offers quality A3 colour printing in such an affordable and compact design

Add a duplex unit to:

- Create professional-looking brochures
- Save on paper and compact output

UPSELL

Add an extra tray to:

- Increase total paper capacity up to 930 sheets
- Have two different sized paper in the printer at the same time

UPSELL



- Colour A3 and A4 compatible
- Revolutionary compact size
- Under 6p per A4 page (at 5% colour coverage RRP)
- Standard network and high speed USB
- 300 sheet paper tray + 100 sheet multipurpose feeder
- 1200x600 dpi with ProQ Multi-level technology
- 128 Mb memory – no need to add more
- Windows 98/ME/NT4.0/2000/XP/Server2003 compatible
- OKI make printing on different media easy with Template Manager software
- Award-winning service and support
- 24/7 online help & support at hand with OKI's award-winning askoki functionality

OKI C8600n vs. HP LJ 5550n



- The OKI RRP is almost £800 cheaper (£1,595 vs. £2,375)
- The OKI is almost 40% smaller (wxdxh= 485x593x341 vs. 577x704x640mm)
- OKI's first page comes out much quicker (9.5 seconds vs. under 16 seconds)
- The OKI print quality is better (1200x600 dpi vs. 600x600 dpi)
- Fast A4 page per minute (OKI's 26 colour/32 mono vs. 28 colour/mono)
- Cost per copy is better with OKI (OKI = 5.99p vs. HP = 7.66p)
- OKI offer an excellent award-winning support service
- OKI's Template Manager software and range of special media help users create prints easily

OKI C8600n vs. Xerox 7400n



- The OKI RRP is almost £1,000 cheaper (£1,595 vs. £2,556)
- The OKI is more than half the size (wxdxh= 485x593x341 vs. 640x623x473mm)
- OKI's first page comes out much quicker (10 seconds colour vs. 12 seconds colour)
- Fast A4 page per minute (OKI's 26 colour/32 mono vs. 36 colour/40 mono)
- Cost per copy is better with OKI (OKI = 5.99p vs. Xerox = 6.67p)
- OKI offer an excellent award-winning support service
- OKI's Template Manager software and range of special media help users create prints easily

OKI C8600n vs. Lexmark C920n



- The OKI RRP is almost £1,000 cheaper (£1,595 vs. £2,542)
- The OKI is almost 40% smaller (wxdxh= 485x593x341 vs. 695x711x512mm)
- OKI's first page comes out much quicker (10 seconds colour vs. 13.5 seconds colour)
- Fast A4 page per minute (OKI's 26 colour/32 mono vs. 32 colour/36 mono)
- Cost per copy is better with OKI (OKI = 5.99p vs. Lexmark 6.02p)
- OKI offer an excellent award-winning support service
- OKI's Template Manager software and range of special media help users create prints easily

OKI C8600n vs. Konica Minolta Magicolour 7450n



- The OKI RRP is almost £800 cheaper (£1,595 vs. £2,395)
- The OKI is more than half the size (wxdxh= 485x593x341 vs. 648x606x477mm)
- OKI's first page comes out much quicker (10 seconds colour vs. 11.5 seconds colour)
- Fast A4 page per minute (OKI's 26 colour/32 mono vs. 25 colour/mono)
- Cost per copy is better with OKI (OKI = 5.99p vs. Konica = 5.98p)
- OKI offer an excellent award-winning support service
- OKI's Template Manager software and range of special media help users create prints easily

OKI C8600n vs. Epson Aculaser C9100



- The OKI RRP is cheaper (£1,595 vs. £1,671)
- The OKI is nearly half the size (wxdxh= 485x593x341 vs. 678x631x473mm)
- OKI's first page comes out much quicker (10 seconds colour vs 12 seconds colour)
- Fast A4 page per minute (OKI's 26 colour/32 mono vs. 24 colour/13 mono)
- Cost per copy is better with OKI (OKI = 5.99p vs. Epson = 5.51p)
- OKI offer an excellent award-winning support service
- OKI's Template Manager software and range of special media help users create prints easily

Part No.	Description
01196901	OKI C8600n OKI's revolutionary compact A4/A3 colour printer
01197001	OKI C8600dn With additional duplex unit for double-sided printing
01197101	OKI C8600cdtn With duplex unit, additional tray storing 530 sheets of 80gsm paper and a lockable cabinet

Disclaimer - OKI Printing Solutions has made every effort to provide accurate costing information. Prices may vary and therefore the cost comparisons in this document are intended as a guideline only.